# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

v.

	Hect	or Javier Mendivil Barnett	Case Number: 17-8194MJ-01	
		with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C as are established: (Check one or both,	C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. as applicable.)	I conclude that the
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.			
$\boxtimes$		preponderance of the evidence the clant pending trial in this case.	defendant is a serious flight risk and require t	he detention of the
		PART I	FINDINGS OF FACT	
	(1)	offense that would have been a federisted) that is:  a crime of violence as defined an offense for which the maximal an offense for which a maximal a felony that was committed offenses described in 18 U.S. any felony that involves a maximal and felony that invo	defendant has been convicted of a (federal offeral offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal offense in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).  Examine the sentence is life imprisonment or death.  In after the defendant had been convicted of two offenses.  Example 18 C.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or minor victim or that involves the possession or terms are defined in section 921), or any other day under 18 U.S.C. §2250.	eral jurisdiction had e is prescribed or more prior federal local offenses. use of a firearm or
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.		e the defendant was
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.		
	(4)		blish a rebuttable presumption that no condition ne safety of (an) other person(s) and the commu- his presumption.	
		Al	ternative Findings	
	(1)	☐ for which a maximum term of under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 95	obable cause to believe that the defendant has confirmed in prescribed by the properties of the prescribed by the prescr	ed in <sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

		$\square$ an offense involving a minor victim under section		
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
		Alternative Findings		
$\boxtimes$	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.		
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.		
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, obstruct a prospective witness or juror).		
	(4)			
	(1)	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION  (Check one or both, as applicable.)  I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:		
$\boxtimes$	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:		
		☐ The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
		☐ The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
		☐ The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.		
		☐ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
		☐ The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
		☐ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
		<ul> <li>□ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.</li> <li>□ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of</li> </ul>		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. §§1201, 1591,2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:

#### 

The defendant has no financial ties, employment, residential, or other ties to the district of Arizona. He resides in Mexico, attends school there, and his family (parents and siblings) resides there. All of his contacts are in the Republic of Mexico. Therefore, the Court finds that he poses a risk of flight as he has no contacts that would provide an incentive to remain in the United States, and instead it appears his incentive would be to return to Mexico and resume his life there.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

## PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 12th day of June, 2017.

Bridget S. Bade
United States Magistrate Judge